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A. Lazarian

Magnetic fields are ubiquitous in space and their role in affecting astrophysical processes is difficult to overestimate. For instance, they are known to be essential in the acceleration of cosmic rays, star formation, solar flares, gamma ray bursts, MHD heating of the interstellar medium, accretion disks, etc.

There are many questions that the existence of astrophysical magnetic fields raises. What is the largest scale for the coherent magnetic fields in the Universe? At what time of the Universe evolution magnetic fields have become essential? How and how fast are magnetic fields created at intergalactic and galactic scales? How do magnetic fields mediate the interstellar dynamics over an enormous range of scales, from 1000 pc to 100 km? How is magnetic energy transferred to particles and heating?

To understand cosmic fields, we must, first of all, understand their dynamics in turbulent plasma (“magnetic turbulence”), the dynamics of magnetic field amplification (usually called “dynamo”) and topology change (“reconnection”). The complex interaction of magnetic fields with plasma and cosmic rays and the back-reaction of these processes to the magnetic field dynamics and structure make these processes difficult to describe quantitatively.

Recent years have been marked by substantial progress in observations of magnetic fields and theoretical understanding of their effects. In addition, a connection between laboratory studies and astrophysics has been fruitfully explored.

However, it would be wrong to feel completely satisfied with the progress. For instance, the recent tremendous advance in our ability to simulate magnetic fields should not hide the fact that numerical simulations are able to explore models which will be in any foreseeable future very limited in resolution. This presents serious challenges in connecting the astrophysical reality and model simulations, unless the fundamental properties of astrophysical magnetic fields are better understood. For instance, all numerical codes are diffusive enough to make the magnetic reconnection fast. Thus, magnetic fields in simulations easily change their topology. Is this also true for actual astrophysical media?

While recent years have been marked by a remarkable progress in simulating fast collisionless reconnection, this type of reconnection is restricted, however, to the situation when the length of the current sheet is not more than several times larger than the electron mean free path. Thus this type of reconnection is not applicable, for instance, to the interstellar gas, for which the reconnection is collisional. If collisionless reconnection is the only type of fast reconnection, this means that most of the interstellar MHD codes do not correctly represent astrophysical reality. If this is the case, this has catastrophic consequences not only for the simulations of galactic dynamo, but also invalidates the entire crop of simulations of interstellar medium dynamics, star formation, complex interaction of magnetic fields and cosmic rays, etc.

The latter is a very important example of the challenges that one has to overcome. As a rule, astrophysical environments are turbulent and astrophysical turbulence is characterized by enormously large Reynolds $Re$ and magnetic Reynolds $Rm$ numbers. The aforementioned $Rm$ number, which characterizes the degree of frozenness of magnetic field within eddies, may differ in astrophysical environments and numerical simulations by a factor larger than $10^{10}$ factor, which, naturally, calls for caution while interpreting numerical results.

While there is no viable alternative to numerical modeling of the complex phenomena of astrophysical magnetic fields, it is essential to strive for understanding of the fundamental processes involving magnetic fields, i.e., magnetic reconnection, magnetic turbulence, ambipolar diffusion of magnetic fields, etc. Such studies should determine which of the phenomena are correctly represented by numerical simulations and may result in the emergence of completely new type of codes which successfully parameterize the processes not accessible through the brute force approach.

Theoretical studies should be tested against observations. In this respect we need not only better telescopes, but also new techniques to make use of...
existing and future observational data. We also need new ways of studying magnetic field.

The synergy advocated by many talks at the conference includes the traditional interplay between experiment, observations, and theory in developing a conceptual picture and understanding; the validation of numerical algorithms by appropriate comparison with laboratory and space experiments; and the application of validated codes to astrophysical phenomena. This approach should enable us to quantitatively study the magnetic fields in the Universe and predict confidently the outcomes of a wide range of astrophysical phenomena.

Let us finish by expressing the hope that at the next “Magnetic Fields in the Universe” conference we shall hear of many exciting advances in understanding this extremely enigmatic and extremely important subject.
I read somewhere recently that the magnetic poles of the earth were going to switch at some point in the future. Is this correct, and if so, what effects will this have? The magnetic field of the Earth has actually switched its direction many many times during Earth's history. Although this is not completely understood, the leading theory of how it works is that Earth's magnetic field is caused by the motion of the liquid outer core. The rotation of the solid inner core also contributes to the magnetic field. When a certain combination of inner and outer core motion occurs, the Earth's magnetic field will quickly reverse. For example, lava that solidified 30,000 years ago shows that the magnetic field was in the opposite direction at that time.

1 Astrophysical Context. 2 Magnetic Metamorphosis. 3 Manifestation in Stellar Activity Cycles. The magnetic evolution of sun-like stars appears to change dramatically when they reach the critical Rossby number (Ro≈4/2) identified by van Saders et al. (2016). The shutdown of magnetic braking near the activity level of 18 Sco (log RHK=−4.93; Hall, Lockwood, and Ski, 2007) keeps the rotation rate nearly constant as the activity level continues to decrease with age toward η Cen A (log RHK=−5.00; Henry et al., 1996) and 16 Cyg (log RHK=−5.09; Wright et al., 2004). The bright sample of stars that were monitored for decades by the Mount Wilson survey have well-characterized long activity cycles and rotation periods, but their basic stellar properties are uncertain. By Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics September 23, 2020.

New submillimeter observations have mapped the magnetic field structures in three of the massive cores along the filament, and found that although the fields do not dominate the collapse, at least as compared to gas turbulence, they appear to be influencing the development of disks around the new stars. Magnetic fields permeate space and affect many major astrophysical phenomena, but they are often ignored due to their perceived complexity. This self-contained introduction to astrophysical magnetic fields provides both a comprehensive review of the current state of the subject and a critical discussion of the latest research. It presents our knowledge of magnetic fields from the Early Universe, their evolution in cosmic time though to their roles in present-day galaxies, galaxy clusters and the wider intergalactic medium, with attention given to both theory and observations. This volume also contains an extensive introduction into magnetohydrodynamics, numerous worked examples, observational and mathematical techniques and interpretations of the observations.